ISSUED DECEMBER 29, 1998

OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

dba ABC Liquor #14 4029 West Chapman Avenue) File: 21-278833 Orange, California 92668,	ELLIS Y. CHA and MYUNG J. CHA)	AB-7006
Orange, California 92668, Appellants/Licensees,) Administrative Law Judge v.) at the Dept. Hearing: Rodolfo Echeverria) DEPARTMENT OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL, Respondent.) December 2, 1998	dba ABC Liquor #14)	
Appellants/Licensees, v. Administrative Law Judge v. at the Dept. Hearing: Rodolfo Echeverria DEPARTMENT OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL, Respondent. December 2, 1998	4029 West Chapman Avenue)	File: 21-278833
v.) Administrative Law Judge at the Dept. Hearing: Rodolfo Echeverria) DEPARTMENT OF ALCOHOLIC) Date and Place of the BEVERAGE CONTROL,) Appeals Board Hearing: Respondent.) December 2, 1998	Orange, California 92668,)	Reg: 97040569
v.) at the Dept. Hearing: Rodolfo Echeverria) DEPARTMENT OF ALCOHOLIC) Date and Place of the BEVERAGE CONTROL,) Appeals Board Hearing: Respondent.) December 2, 1998	Appellants/Licensees,)	
DEPARTMENT OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL, Respondent.) Rodolfo Echeverria) Date and Place of the Appeals Board Hearing: December 2, 1998)	Administrative Law Judge
DEPARTMENT OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL, Respondent.) Date and Place of the Appeals Board Hearing: December 2, 1998	v .)	at the Dept. Hearing:
BEVERAGE CONTROL,) Appeals Board Hearing: Respondent.) December 2, 1998)	Rodolfo Echeverria
BEVERAGE CONTROL,) Appeals Board Hearing: Respondent.) December 2, 1998)	
Respondent.) December 2, 1998	DEPARTMENT OF ALCOHOLIC)	Date and Place of the
•	BEVERAGE CONTROL,)	Appeals Board Hearing:
) Sacramento, CA)	Respondent.)	December 2, 1998
))	Sacramento, CA
)	

ORDER

Ellis Y. Cha and Myung J. Cha, doing business as ABC Liquor #14

(appellants), appeal from a decision of the Department of Alcoholic Beverage

Control which suspended their off-sale general license for ten days, for appellants' employee having sold an alcoholic beverage, beer, to a minor.

Based upon our review of the record and upon the representations of counsel for the Department and the appellant, we conclude that the result in this matter is controlled by the October 28, 1998, decision of the Second District Court of Appeal in Acapulco Restaurants, Inc. v. Alcoholic Beverage Control Appeals Board

(1998) 67 Cal.App.4th 575 [79 Cal.Rptr.2d 126]. The court there stated that "rule 141(b)(5) means what it says," i.e., strict compliance with the face-to-face identification provision is required. No face-to-face identification of the seller by the decoy was made in this case. Pursuant to Rule 141(c), the failure of the police to comply with 141(b)(5) provides a defense to the accusation issued by the Department. Therefore, the decision of the Department is reversed.¹

RAY T. BLAIR, JR., CHAIRMAN BEN DAVIDIAN, MEMBER ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL APPEALS BOARD

JOHN B. TSU, MEMBER, did not participate in the hearing or decision of this matter.

¹This final order is filed in accordance with Business and Professions Code §23088, and shall become effective 30 days following the date of the filing of this order as provided by §23090.7 of said code.

Any party, before this final order becomes effective, may apply to the appropriate court of appeal, or the California Supreme Court, for a writ of review of this final order in accordance with Business and Professions Code §23090 et seq.